

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/613,526	07/03/2003	Jerome C. Caruso	3591/1325	4553
757 7	590 06/17/2004		EXAMINER	
BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE			WILLIAMS, MARK A	
P.O. BOX 10395 CHICAGO, IL 60610			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
011101100, 12			3676	
			DATE MAIL ED: 06/17/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/613,526	CARUSO ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Mark A. Williams	3676					
The MAILING DATE of this c mmunication app Period for Reply	ears n the cover sheet with the c	rrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL	VIS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM					
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period volume to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are rejected.	Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	D) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	∍ 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.						
Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No					
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.					
Attachment(s)							
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/22/03.	5)	atent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/613,526

Art Unit: 3676

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-9, 11-17, and 19-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kotzin, US Patent 4,689,848, in view of Schuring et al., US Patent 5,143,500. Kotzin provides a caster assembly including a plastic housing with first and second side portions (12, 13). An axle 48 is provided engaging both side portions. A wheel 42 is provided in the claimed fashion. First and second top portions are shown as claimed (see figures 2-4). A pivot pin is shown at 28. A step 13 and boss 58 are provided and the boss may be inserted into a furniture component as claimed.

Kotzin discloses the claimed invention except for the claimed snap-fit arrangement of the wheel axle and the housing. Schuring teaches such a snap-fit arrangement for axially securing rotating elements. This arrangement provides a firm securing force as well as ease of assembly. It

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/613,526

Art Unit: 3676

would have been obvious at the time the invention was made for one skilled in the art to have modified the design of Kotzin to include such a snap-fitting arrangement, as generally taught in Schuring, for the purpose of providing alternative rotary fastening means for the wheel axle, so that it will be firmly secured in place and easily assembled.

The claimed method is inherent to the design.

3. Claims 10 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kotzin in view of Schuring. With respect to the assembly including an attachment plate, such plates are well known in the art, and it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to make the different portions of the housing of whatever form or shape was desired or expedient. A change in form or shape is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art, absent any showing of unexpected results. *In re Dailey et al.*, 149 USPQ 47. Such a modification is not critical to the design and would have produced no unexpected results.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark A. Williams

Art Unit: 3676

whose telephone number is (703) 305-3438. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark Williams 6/11/04

GARY ESTREMSKY PRIMARY EXAMINER